Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

Family Holidays Have an Itinerary, but the Seniors Didn't Sign Off on It

How to Plan a Trip Together with Mobility, Rest, and Accessibility in Mind



Family Holidays Have an Itinerary, but the Seniors Didn't Sign Off on It

How to Plan a Trip Together with Mobility, Rest, and Accessibility in Mind

Max Paradox

PROBLEM? RELAX. YOU'VE GOT THIS!

ISBN: 9788384908778

ALL-IN Rafał Płonkowski



CONTENTS

INTRO 5

Chapter 1 - Everyone Is Going on Holiday, but Everyone Has a Different Idea of What That Means 11

Chapter 2 - A Senior Is Not an Accessibility Category. Find Out What They Actually Need 21

Chapter 3 - "But They Were Fine Just a Moment Ago." Why People's Abilities Change During a Holiday 32

Chapter 4 - "It Looked Different in the Photos." How Holiday Expectations Clash with Reality 42

Chapter 5 - The Travel Day Is Part of the Holiday Too. How to Plan the Journey Without Exhausting Everyone Before Arrival 52

Chapter 6 - Helping Without Taking Control. How to Support a Senior Without Turning the Holiday into a Full-Time Care Shift 62

Chapter 7 - Don't Cram an Entire Holiday into One Day. The Art of Creating an Itinerary That Has a Chance of Working 73

Chapter 8 - Small Things That Can Ruin an Entire Day. Toilets, Benches, Shade, and Other Holiday Details 84

Chapter 9 - From an Idea to a Ready-to-Go Route. How to Plan an Excursion Step by Step 96

Chapter 10 - Book Smart. How to Arrange Tickets, Seats, and Facilities Without Holiday Surprises 105

Chapter 11 - The Rhythm of a Holiday Day. How to Balance Mornings, Meals, Rest, and Evening Plans 117

Chapter 12 - Everyone Should Participate, but Not Necessarily in the Same Way. How to Choose Attractions for the Whole Family 129

Chapter 13 - The Suitcase That Thinks for You. How to Pack for a Family Holiday Without Bringing the Entire House 142

Chapter 14 - Holidays Without Guesswork. How to Prepare Your Family to Get Around in an Unfamiliar Place 153

Chapter 15 - When Someone Says "No". How to Handle Conflicts, Concerns, and Changes to Holiday Plans 166

Chapter 16 - Not Everything Can Be Adapted. How to Make Difficult Decisions When Holiday Options Have Their Limits 177

Chapter 17 - When Holidays Get Out of Control. How to Handle Unexpected Situations Without Family Panic..... 189

Chapter 18 - Don't Start Every Trip from Scratch. How to Build a Family System for Successful Holidays..... 201

Chapter 19 - Old Habits Return Faster Than Holiday Photos. How to Avoid Losing the Travel Habits You've Worked So Hard to Develop 214

Chapter 20 - Your Holiday, Your Rules. How to Travel Together Without a Fixed Script or Family Pressure 224

INTRO

Family holidays usually begin with a beautiful vision. Several generations under one roof, shared breakfasts, sightseeing, photographs, dinners in charming restaurants, and evenings when everyone agrees that they should definitely do it all again sometime. Someone finds an apartment with a view, someone else books a rental car, and the most ambitious member of the family prepares an itinerary that looks suspiciously like the holiday has been combined with qualifying rounds for a competitive speed-walking championship.

Everything is organised. Departure time, route, tickets, restaurants, viewpoints, a museum, a walk through the old town, and just one more attraction because it would be a shame to waste the day. There is only one small detail: the plan was prepared by someone who apparently assumes that every participant has identical fitness levels, similar needs for rest, and exactly the same tolerance for stairs, heat, and several kilometres of walking.

Meanwhile, after the first hour of sightseeing, Grandma starts looking for somewhere to sit. Grandpa increasingly glances towards a bench on the other side of the street. The children keep asking when they can go to the swimming pool, while the parents attempt to keep everyone happy without triggering an international family diplomatic incident. Someone suggests walking just a little further to reach one more viewpoint. Silence follows. Not ordinary silence, either. This is the silence of people who have just realised that the viewpoint might be beautiful, but the route leading to it was

apparently designed by someone who has never encountered human knees.

And so the family holiday, which was supposed to be a chance to relax, begins to resemble a logistical project with unexpected delays, changing priorities, and increasingly dissatisfied stakeholders. The only difference is that you cannot simply send a message to the team announcing that the deadline has been postponed. Everyone is sitting around the same table, and they all remember perfectly well who came up with today's route.

Travelling together across several generations is a wonderful idea, but it requires a different approach to planning than a holiday spent among people with similar abilities and needs. Not because older people cannot travel, dislike attractions, or should spend their holidays exclusively in an armchair at the hotel. Quite the opposite. Many older people want to explore, discover new places, try local food, go for walks, and take part in family life. They do not want to be an accessory to the holiday or the reason everyone else constantly has to give something up. They simply want the opportunity to enjoy their holiday on their own terms.

The problem begins when families confuse the willingness to participate with an unlimited ability to participate. Just because someone says, "Of course, let's go. It'll be lovely," does not automatically mean they are ready for a full day of sightseeing, climbing a hill, changing trains in a crowded metro station, and having dinner that starts at nine in the evening. Agreeing to go on holiday is not the same as signing a commitment to complete every item on the holiday schedule.

Sometimes the difficulties are obvious. Someone uses a walking stick, a rollator, or a wheelchair, has limited mobility,

or needs assistance with stairs. More often, however, the limitations are less visible. Standing for extended periods can be exhausting, a fast pace can make getting around difficult, and spending several hours away from the accommodation can cause more fatigue than the family anticipated. Then there is the heat, noise, lack of accessible toilets, uncomfortable seating, and the need to cover considerable distances between attractions.

It is important not to assume that every older person has the same needs. Age itself is not an instruction manual for operating a human being. One person in their seventies may have no trouble walking several miles, while another may need frequent breaks after a few hundred metres. Someone might manage stairs perfectly well but struggle with prolonged standing. Another person may move around comfortably but have difficulty tolerating heat or sitting in a car for several hours. Planning a holiday based on stereotypes is about as sensible as buying shoes for every member of the family in the same size. Someone might get lucky, but it is difficult to call that a strategy.

There is another problem, too: family dynamics. The people organising the trip usually have the best intentions. They make reservations, check details, search for attractions, and try to ensure everyone has the best possible time. Older relatives, on the other hand, do not always want to mention their limitations. They may not want to cause trouble, disrupt the plans, or hear that something is too difficult for them. Sometimes they do not even know how they will feel in a particular place. As a result, the organisers make plans with good intentions, and the participants remain silent with equally good intentions. Then everyone is

surprised when reality has not bothered to read the shared script.

It is worth changing the starting point. Instead of asking only where to go and what to see, the family should consider how everyone will be able to enjoy the trip. This may seem like a small difference, but it changes practically every decision: the choice of accommodation, its location, the means of transport, the length of excursions, the order of attractions, meal times, and even the way tickets are booked.

Accessibility does not simply mean having an elevator or a ramp. It also means being able to reach a restaurant without climbing a steep hill, finding a toilet when needed, resting in the shade, getting into a car comfortably, and returning to the accommodation without having to organise a rescue expedition. Sometimes the most important convenience is not specialist equipment but a well-located place to stay, proximity to essential services, and the possibility of shortening a route when the day turns out to be more demanding than expected.

This does not mean that every day must revolve around the slowest member of the group. A family holiday should not become a continuous series of negotiations in which some people feel restricted and others feel guilty. You can have shared activities and separate time. You can plan an attraction where some family members complete the entire experience while others choose a shorter version. You can also split into smaller groups for a few hours without treating it as a failure of the holiday. Grown adults can spend some time apart and still remain a family. There is no need to convene an investigative committee to establish who abandoned whom.

The most important thing is to create a plan that gives everyone genuine choices. It is not enough to put the words "free time" into the itinerary if the nearest shop is two kilometres from the hotel and the nearest bench is practically a local legend. Nor is it enough to ask an older relative whether they can manage if you do not explain the actual conditions: the walking distance, number of stairs, opportunities to rest, and how they will get there.

That is why good preparation begins before the booking is made. It starts with a conversation in which everyone can explain what matters to them, what they would prefer to avoid, and what conditions would allow them to enjoy the trip. It is worth discussing these things concretely, without judgement and without assuming that someone is exaggerating or unnecessarily limiting themselves. Asking "Do you want to go?" is considerably less helpful than asking, "What pace of sightseeing would be comfortable for you?", "Are stairs a problem?", or "How much rest do you need after a longer excursion?" These questions do not take away anyone's independence. On the contrary, they help preserve it.

This book is for families who want to travel together but do not want a shared holiday to mean that anyone has to abandon their own needs. It is for people organising a trip for parents, grandparents, children, and themselves, who discover during the preparations that finding the right hotel is only the beginning. It is for older people who want to take part in holiday adventures but are not particularly interested in proving their physical abilities at every new attraction. And it is for everyone who wants to avoid a situation in which the most memorable part of the holiday is a heated discussion about why someone has once again planned a walk uphill.

You will not find the idea here that there is one perfect model of a family holiday. There is no universally appropriate walking distance, ideal time for a rest, or accommodation that will be best for every family. What you will find are ways to make better decisions, recognise potential difficulties earlier, and prepare a trip so that you do not have to improvise at every next staircase.

Because a successful family holiday is not about everyone seeing exactly the same things, at exactly the same time, and at exactly the same pace. It is about everyone returning home with the feeling that they genuinely had a holiday. That they were able to participate, rest, see something interesting, eat something delicious, and spend time with their loved ones without constantly having to explain or justify their physical abilities.

And if, after returning home, the family unanimously agrees that they would like to travel together again next time, that will be a much better measure of successful planning than the number of attractions visited.

After all, holidays are meant for collecting memories, not receipts for the additional kilometres travelled by the family's knees.

Chapter 1 - Everyone Is Going on Holiday, but Everyone Has a Different Idea of What That Means

Planning a family holiday can reveal things that years of living together have somehow failed to establish. All it takes is suggesting a date for the trip, and suddenly it becomes clear that one person dreams of a peaceful break, another wants to see every major attraction in the region, a third believes that a holiday without daily excursions is a waste of money, and a fourth would happily spend an entire week somewhere that does not require going anywhere at all. Everyone says they want to spend time together. The problem is that each person has their own definition of what together actually means.

Families often begin by choosing a destination when they should really begin with a conversation about expectations. Photographs of an apartment, its distance from the sea, and the appeal of the surrounding area can easily distract everyone from the most important question: how do we actually want to spend our time? You might find a beautiful house in the mountains, only to discover after arriving that one person imagined short walks through valleys, another expected full-day hikes to mountain peaks, and a third assumed there would be a restaurant, a shop, and somewhere comfortable to spend the afternoon nearby. Everyone agreed on the same location, but in their imagination, each person had purchased an entirely different holiday.

This becomes particularly noticeable during multigenerational trips. Younger participants often think in terms of attractions and activities. They want to make the most of their time off, discover new places, try unfamiliar experiences, and return home feeling that they have genuinely been somewhere. Older relatives may have similar desires while placing greater importance on predictability, comfort, opportunities to rest, and independence. Some will want an intensive sightseeing programme, while others primarily value a peaceful atmosphere and the opportunity to spend unhurried time with their family. There is no single profile of an older traveller, just as there is no single profile of a thirty-year-old.

The trouble begins when a family treats a shared destination as proof of shared needs. If everyone wants to go to the seaside, the assumption is that everyone will want to spend time on the beach. If a historic city has been chosen, there is an expectation that everyone will be interested in sightseeing. Yet someone might dream of visiting the seaside without enjoying hours in the sun. Someone else might love history but have no desire to spend several hours standing in a museum. And another person might be happiest simply being surrounded by loved ones, even if they never visit a single tourist attraction.

It is therefore worth separating three different things: the destination, the way people want to spend their time, and the conditions that allow them to enjoy that time. Agreeing on where to travel does not mean agreeing to every activity. Accepting shared accommodation does not mean everyone has to remain together from breakfast until late evening. And wanting to participate in a holiday does not mean being prepared to adapt to the most demanding programme.

The Trap of Assumed Agreement

In many families, important decisions are made on the basis of very short answers. Someone asks, "So, are we going in August?" and everyone responds, "Of course!" Someone sends a link to an apartment, a few positive reactions appear, and the booking is made. Nobody wants to complicate things. Older relatives, in particular, may avoid raising objections because they do not want to appear demanding or make others feel that they require special treatment.

Sometimes this silence is simply a matter of politeness. Someone does not want to criticise an idea that the family has already spent considerable time developing. At other times, there is a fear that expressing doubts will be interpreted as a lack of enthusiasm. It may also be that an older person does not know the exact conditions of the trip and cannot yet judge whether they will feel comfortable. Saying "I'll manage somehow" might express genuine confidence, but it can also be an attempt to avoid a conversation that feels awkward or troublesome.

The organisers have their own habits, too. They often assume that because their parents travelled with them on similar trips in the past, the current plan will be just as suitable. But abilities and preferences change over time. This does not necessarily mean that someone's physical condition has deteriorated significantly. Sometimes a person simply stops enjoying long journeys, becomes less comfortable with noise, or needs more time to get ready in the morning. A family that has travelled according to the same routine for years may fail to notice that the circumstances have changed.

Instead of asking everyone whether they are generally happy with the trip, it is better to ask about specific elements. This does not mean conducting an interrogation before every holiday. A calm conversation is enough, provided everyone has an opportunity to explain what matters to them. The following questions can help:

- What would we most like to do during this trip?
- Which activities are particularly appealing, and which could we give up?
- How much time each day would we like to spend sightseeing together?
- Do we need separate time for rest?
- What accommodation and transport conditions are essential for us?
- What could make this trip stop being enjoyable?

It is important not to judge the answers immediately. If someone says they do not want to leave the hotel every day, there is no need to convince them that it would be a shame to sit in their room. If someone dreams of active sightseeing, we should not automatically assume that they will have to abandon every ambitious idea. The purpose of the conversation is to understand people's needs, not to declare a winner in a family debate.

It is also helpful to separate conversations about dreams from conversations about limitations. First, everyone should have the opportunity to describe what their ideal holiday would look like. Only afterwards should the family discuss what conditions will be necessary to make those expectations realistic. This approach prevents a situation in which the first mention of a need for rest from an older relative immediately results in half the family's ideas being

crossed off the list. Needing rest does not mean having no interest in the world.

Who Is Organising the Holiday, and Who Is Merely a Passenger?

A shared trip often has one person responsible for the logistics. This is the person who searches for accommodation, compares prices, checks transport options, buys tickets, and reminds everyone else about deadlines. Over time, they may begin to treat their own plan as the only sensible option. This does not necessarily come from a desire to control others. More often, it is simply the result of the considerable amount of work they have already invested in organising the holiday.

However, a potentially dangerous dependency can develop: because one person prepared the programme, everyone else is expected to accept it. In this situation, older relatives become passengers on a holiday designed by someone else. Their role is reduced to participating in one scheduled activity after another. Even when the organiser has the best intentions, they may unintentionally take away other people's influence over how they spend their time off.

It is worth remembering that older people do not automatically need a carer who makes every decision for them. If someone is independent, they should have the opportunity to make decisions about their own time. Helping them find accessible accommodation or check transport arrangements is very different from taking control of every aspect of the journey. The distinction matters because excessive protectiveness can be just as exhausting as completely ignoring someone's needs.

Instead of preparing a complete programme and presenting it to the family for approval, it is better to agree on its most important elements together. The organiser can prepare several realistic suggestions, but decisions about shared activities should take everyone's opinions into account. An older relative might choose a place they particularly want to visit, younger family members might suggest an activity, and the person responsible for logistics can check whether these ideas can reasonably be combined.

This does not mean that every decision has to be made through a vote. A family holiday is not an annual shareholders' meeting. Some matters are purely technical, and someone simply has to take care of the reservations. Others directly affect the comfort of participants and require their clear agreement. The colour of a suitcase can be chosen by its owner. Choosing accommodation with numerous stairs, however, requires taking into account the person who will have to climb them.

A useful way to approach this is to divide requirements into essential needs, preferences, and extras. Essential needs describe the conditions without which someone will not be able to participate in the trip comfortably or safely. Preferences are things that significantly improve comfort but may be open to negotiation. Extras are attractive additions, but they are not necessary. If a family fails to distinguish between these categories, it can waste enormous amounts of energy debating minor details while overlooking the one issue that genuinely determines whether the trip will work.

Travelling Together Does Not Mean Spending Every Minute Together

One of the most damaging assumptions is that if a family travels together, it must also function as one group at all times. This vision may look appealing in holiday brochures, but in practice it can create considerable tension. Some people want to visit a local market in the morning, while others would prefer to sleep a little longer. Someone wants to visit an art gallery, while another would rather spend an hour in a café. If every difference requires negotiating with the entire group, even a small activity starts to resemble an operation that needs approval from several levels of management.

A better approach is to plan shared time while leaving room for individual decisions. The family might agree that the main event of the day will be a shared lunch, dinner, or a particular attraction. The remaining hours can be more flexible. One part of the group might choose a longer walk, while another prefers to rest, with everyone meeting later at an agreed location.

This arrangement has an additional advantage: it allows older relatives to maintain their sense of independence. They do not have to give up an activity simply because others feel like doing something different. Nor do they have to pretend to be tired just to justify staying at the accommodation. Similarly, younger family members do not have to abandon every more demanding attraction for fear that someone might feel excluded.

Of course, spending time independently requires suitable arrangements. The family needs to establish whether the person can reach their chosen destination without difficulty, whether they have access to a phone, whether they know the accommodation address, and whether they know how to return. If someone needs assistance, this should be agreed

in advance rather than relying on the assumption that another family member will happen to be nearby. Independence does not mean leaving someone without support. It means organising the trip so that help is available when needed rather than imposed at every moment.

For example, a family could agree that mornings are reserved for shared activities while afternoons remain flexible. On one day, everyone visits an interesting museum. On another, some members choose a longer excursion while the others enjoy nearby attractions. In the evening, everyone meets for dinner. This arrangement does not require giving up the family character of the trip, but it reduces the number of situations in which one person's needs become an obstacle for everyone else.

How to Turn a Conversation into an Actual Plan

Once everyone's expectations have been collected, it is worth writing down a few shared arrangements. This does not need to be a formal document or an elaborate schedule. A simple shared note that everyone can access is enough. It should include the most important decisions: the preferred character of the trip, activities that matter to the family, accommodation requirements, transport needs, and the arrangements for organising free time.

It is also useful to identify things the family would rather avoid. This is not about creating a list of prohibitions, but about recognising potential sources of conflict. If someone does not want to change accommodation every day, this should influence the choice of where to stay. If an older relative needs regular breaks, there is little point booking an attraction immediately after a long journey. If someone cannot tolerate crowded places, it is better to know this before buying tickets to a popular event.

The shared note has another purpose: it reduces the need to revisit the same conversations repeatedly. During the booking process, the organiser can check the agreed arrangements instead of trying to remember who said what several weeks earlier. Other participants can also see which decisions have been made and why. This makes it easier to avoid the familiar statement: "But I thought it was going to be different."

It is important, however, to leave room for changing one's mind. New information may appear before departure, a participant's well-being may change, or an activity may turn out to be very different from what the photographs suggested. Agreements should serve as a point of reference, not as a contract that cannot be modified without initiating a family complaints procedure.

A simple question can help assess whether the plan is any good: can every participant name at least one thing they are genuinely looking forward to? If the answer is yes, there is a reasonable chance that the trip reflects the family's different needs. If one person can list ten attractions while everyone else can only say that "it'll be nice because we'll all be together", it may be worth returning to the conversation.

We should also avoid overlooking people who do not actively participate in the planning process. Someone might dislike organisational discussions, have no interest in reservations, or simply lack the time to browse accommodation offers. This does not make their opinion less important. We can ask them to answer a few specific questions and present a short summary of the available suggestions. Taking part in decisions does not require becoming a tourism expert.

The best family holidays do not have to be compromises in which everyone receives a little of something they never wanted in the first place. They can be consciously planned trips in which different needs have room to exist. Sometimes that will mean a shared excursion, sometimes a peaceful afternoon, and sometimes abandoning an attraction that turns out to be impractical. This is not an organisational failure. It is a sign that the plan serves the people rather than the people serving the plan.

Chapter 2 - A Senior Is Not an Accessibility Category. Find Out What They Actually Need

When choosing accommodation, you may come across descriptions that sound very appealing: ideal for seniors, comfortable for the whole family, peaceful surroundings, convenient access to attractions. Sometimes there is also information about an elevator, parking, or facilities for people with limited mobility. The problem is that most of these descriptions still tell us very little about whether a particular person will actually be able to use the property comfortably.

A hotel may advertise itself as senior-friendly because it is located in a quiet neighbourhood. At the same time, its entrance might involve climbing a dozen stairs. An apartment may have an elevator, but reaching it first requires stepping over a raised threshold that is nowhere to be seen in the photographs. A guesthouse might be close to the city centre, yet the route to it leads along a steep street, and the nearest shop is on the other side of a busy main road. Everything is technically consistent with the description. Reality, however, has remarkably little in common with the promise of comfort.

When planning a trip, we therefore need to move away from thinking in terms of age and start thinking in terms of specific abilities and needs. The mere fact that someone is a senior tells us nothing about how far they can comfortably walk, how long they can stand, whether they need handrails, how they cope with long journeys, or what kind of rest they

expect. Age is information about a person, but it is not an instruction manual for their body.

Two people of a similar age can have completely different needs. One may move around independently but require frequent breaks. Another may use a walking stick but be accustomed to longer walks and understand their own capabilities very well. Someone may manage stairs without difficulty but struggle to walk on uneven surfaces. Another person might enjoy sightseeing perfectly well, provided they do not have to stand in one place for extended periods.

It also happens that the same person's abilities vary depending on the day and the conditions. After a peaceful night and a good rest, a walk may be enjoyable. After a long journey, a poor night's sleep, or in high temperatures, the identical route may become considerably more demanding. This is why preparing a trip should not rely solely on the statement that someone "can manage walking". We also need to establish under what conditions, for how long, and at what level of effort.

The Real Route Starts Before You Reach the Attraction

One of the most common mistakes is assessing accessibility based only on the destination itself. The family checks whether a museum has an elevator, whether a restaurant offers seating on the ground floor, or whether there is parking near the beach. Meanwhile, the participant still has to reach that place, move around its surroundings, and return to the point where the excursion began.

Accessibility should therefore be assessed as an entire journey rather than as a single building or attraction. Imagine a trip to a small town. The car park is located beside the main street, from which a cobbled road leads to the market

square. The distance itself is not particularly long, but the surface is uneven, there are several steps along the way, and the pavement narrows where restaurant terraces have been set up. There are not many places to sit in the square, and the nearest toilet is in a building located at a different level.

On a map, this is a short route. For someone with limited mobility, it may be a demanding undertaking. That is why it is worth checking not only the distance in kilometres but also the type of surface, the gradient, the number of obstacles, opportunities to rest, and access to basic facilities.

The same applies to transport. A car may be able to pull up directly outside an attraction, but if the parking space is far from the entrance, the additional walking distance must be taken into account. With public transport, the presence of a nearby stop is not the only important factor. We also need to consider how to reach it, whether boarding the vehicle is manageable, how many transfers are involved, and how long passengers may have to wait. Knowing that a bus goes to the city centre does not solve every problem associated with the journey.

It is best to think about the route in stages: leaving the accommodation, reaching the means of transport, travelling, walking to the attraction, moving around inside it, and returning. Each of these elements may be easy on its own, but combining them can create a demanding day, particularly when there are no opportunities to rest between activities.

Five Areas Worth Checking

Before planning attractions, it is useful to examine five different areas. This is not a medical assessment of

someone's physical condition. It is a practical way of identifying the conditions under which a particular person will be travelling.

The first area is walking distance and the type of surface. It is worth establishing how far the participant can comfortably walk and whether particular surfaces cause difficulties. A flat promenade may be considerably easier than a cobbled old town of a similar length. A route across level ground is very different from one involving numerous uphill sections. Breaks should also be considered, because being able to walk a particular distance does not necessarily mean being able to cover it without stopping.

The second area concerns vertical obstacles. Stairs, raised thresholds, kerbs, steep ramps, and building entrances can determine whether someone will be able to enjoy an attraction. It is worth checking not only the number of steps but also the presence of handrails, the width of passageways, and whether an alternative entrance is available. Information about an elevator is useful, but we still need to know whether it can be reached without encountering other barriers.

The third area is standing and resting. Many tourist attractions require visitors to remain in one place for extended periods. This applies to visiting exhibitions, waiting to enter, enjoying viewpoints, and attending events. For some people, standing in a queue for a long time may be more difficult than walking itself. It is worth checking whether seating is available, whether admission can be booked for a specific time, and whether visitors can take breaks during their visit.

The fourth area is transfers and the use of transport. Getting into a high vehicle may be more difficult than sitting